



# S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/430,644 10/29/99 HURST R SAR-13543 **EXAMINER** WM02/0803 AN, S THOMASON, MOSER AND PATTERSON, LLP 2-40 BRIDGE AVENUE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER F. O. BOX 8160 RED BANK, NJ 07701 2613

DATE MAILED:

08/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/430,644

**Robert Norman Hurst** 

Examiner



Art Unit Shawn An 2613 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 2001 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6) X Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-12, 14-20, and 22-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5, 13, and 21 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) L Claims \_\_\_ **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a)  $\square$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Response to Reconsideration

1. Applicant's remarks filed 6/9/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicants present an argument of which Wee et al's reference neither teaches nor discloses a method and an apparatus for processing a transport stream such that a <u>splice point adapter</u> is generated as recited in claims 1, 9, and 17. After careful scrutiny of Wee et al's reference, the Examiner must respectively disagree, and maintain the grounds of rejection.

The Applicant admits that "if the first frame is not an I frame, then some processing must occur to insure that the first frame is an I frame" (page 3, lines 11-12). The Examiner interprets the some processing occurring as generating a splice point adapter such that a subsequent splicing operation may be effected in a substantially seamless manner. Therefore, contrary to the Applicant's argument, when broadly interpreting a splice point adapter, clearly, Wee et al's reference teaches the processing of a transport stream prior to the splicing of this transport stream such that a subsequent splicing operation may be effected in a substantially seamless manner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 9-10, 14, 17-18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wee et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 5.

Art Unit: 2613

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 11-12, 15-16, 19-20, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wee et al in view of Chen et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 5.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 13, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims 1, 9, and 17, respectively, but would be allowable: if claim 5 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claims; if claim 13 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 9 and any intervening claims; and if claim 21 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 17 and any intervening claims. Accordingly, if the amendments are made to the claims listed above, and if rejected claims are canceled, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2613

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number is (703) 305-0099.

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

デンシ SSA

August 1, 2001